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RELENTLESS CRACKDOWN AGAINST LAWYERS IN TURKEY

There has been a relentless campaign of arrests which has targeted fellow lawyers across the country. In 77 of Turkey’s 81 provinces, lawyers have been detained and arrested on trumped-up charges as part of criminal investigations orchestrated by the political authorities and conducted by provincial public prosecutors. As of today, 1506 lawyers have been prosecuted, 572 lawyers have been arrested 80 of whom have been sentenced to long imprisonment. Some of the arrested lawyers have been subjected torture and inhumane treatment.

1 https://arrestedlawyers.org/category/situation-in-turkey/
THE 14 PROVINCIAL BAR ASSOCIATIONS’ PRESIDENTS HAVE BEEN ARRESTED OR DETAINED

14 of the persecuted lawyers are were presidents of their respective provincial bar associations, namely:
President of Konya Bar Association Fevzi Kayacan,
President of Trabzon Bar Association Orhan Öngöz,
President of Siirt Bar Association Cemal Acar,
President of Gumushane Bar Association Ismail Tastan,
were arrested and unlawfully unseated.
Former Presidents of Manisa, Erzurum, Erzincan, Afyon Bar Associations Zeynel Balkız, Mehmet Güzel, Cemalettin Özer, Mehmet Akalın have also been arrested.²

On the other hand, presidents of the Aksaray and Kahramanmaraş Bar Associations, Levent Bozkurt and Vahit Bagcı respectively, and former presidents of Yozgat Bar Association Haci İbis and Fahri Acıkgoz were detained for a certain period of time before they were released on bail.

² https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/07/24/14-presidents-or-former-presidents-of-provincial-bar-associations-were-detained-or-arrested-in-turkey/
80 LAWYERS WERE SENTENCED RANGE TO 4 AND 14 YEARS

So far, 80 lawyers have been sentenced to long imprisonment:

- Konya 6th High Penal Court sentenced Fevzi Kayacan who is former president of Konya Bar Association and 19 other lawyers to imprisonment for up to 14 years.

- Erzincan 2nd High Penal Court sentenced lawyers Cemalettin Ozer, former president of Erzincan Bar Association, and Talip Nayir, a former board member of the same organisation, to 8 years 9 month and 10 years in prison respectively.

3 https://arrestedlawyers.org/category/convictions/
4 https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/10/27/the-20-members-of-konya-bar-association-including-former-president-kayacan-were-sentenced-range-to-2-and-11-years-imprisonment/
5 https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/07/27/judicial-persecution-former-president-of-erzincan-bar-association-and-former-board-member-were-sentenced-to-9-and-10-years-imprisonment/
80 LAWYERS WERE SENTENCED RANGE TO 4 AND 14 YEARS

- Erzurum 2nd High Penal Court have given Mehmet Güzel, former president of Erzurum Bar Association, and four other lawyers custodial sentences varying from 1 to 13 years in prison.⁶

- Eskisehir 2nd High Penal Court have sentenced 11 lawyers between 4 and 12 years in prison.⁷

- Sivas 2nd High Penal Court have sentenced nine lawyers between 3 and 9 years in prison.⁸

---

⁷ https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/07/26/judicial-persecution-11-lawyers-were-sentenced-to-range-4-to-12-years-imprisonment/
⁸ https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/11/20/9-lawyers-in-sivas-were-sentenced-ranging-from-3-to-9-years-imprisonment/
80 LAWYERS WERE SENTENCED RANGE TO 4 AND 14 YEARS

- Adana 11th High Penal Court have sentenced EİGHT lawyers between 3 and 9 years in prison.⁹

Different courts in Istanbul, Bolu, Antalya, Kocaeli, Nigde, Kirşehir, Kayseri, İzmir have also given fellow Turkish lawyers long prison sentence to fellow lawyers.

⁹ https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/11/20/3-lawyers-were-sentenced-to-9-years-imprisonment-in-adana/
80 lawyers were sentenced to long imprisonment ranging from 4 to 14 years. All persecuted lawyers are being charged with terrorism offences such as being the member of an armed terrorist organisation or even leading such an organisation. Under the Turkish Penal Code, these two offences are punishable with up to 22.5 years in prison.
On 21 July 2016, the state of emergency and derogation from the ECHR were declared by Turkish government “to preserve the democratic order.” The 3-month state of emergency has been extended six times and is still in effect.

Under the state of emergency rule, 30 emergency decrees (KHK) were issued by the Cabinet. 30 emergency decrees that consist of 1194 articles, of which more than thousand introduce new legislation or amendment on the law in effect. The Turkish Constitutional Court has ruled that the decrees are not in the scope of its jurisdiction and recognizes the decrees as an exemption from judicial review despite its previous rulings.

THE AMENDMENTS MADE WITH THE DECREE LAWS AS TO THE
RIGHT TO DEFENCE AND THE CRIMINAL PROCEEDING RULES

1. Article 96 of the Decree Law No. 696 changed the heading of Article 209 of Turkish Penal Procedure Law (CMK) "Documents and records to be read mandatorily during the hearing" to "Documents and records to be told mandatorily during the hearing". Under this amendment, documents, records and other writings which can be used as evidence in judgement will only be told (in summary) and not be (completely) read during hearings.

2. Article 5 of the Decree Law No. 676 has made "to hold hearing without the participation of the defendant's lawyer" possible.\textsuperscript{11}

\textsuperscript{10} Article 209 of the Turkish Penal Procedure Law before the Decree Law as follows:

\textbf{Documents and records to be read* mandatorily during the main hearing}

Article 209 – (1) The records of interrogation of the accused conducted by a delegated member of the court or through the letter of rogatory, the records of hearing of the witness conducted by a delegated member of the court or through the letter of rogatory, as well as documents such as records of bodily examination and records related to the crime scene investigation that are to be used as evidence, and other written papers, excerpts from criminal records and personal status registers concerning the personal and economic state, shall be read during the main hearing.

(2) If there is an explicit request, documents containing personal data about the accused and the victim shall be read out in a closed trial session, upon the decision of the court.

* “to be read” was changed as “to be told”
3. Article 1 of the Decree Law No. 676 has stipulated that in the hearings of organised crime trials a defendant can be represented by maximum three lawyers. Prior the state of emergency, this restriction was in effect only for investigation stage, with the above-mentioned amendment the three-lawyer restriction is carried into effect also for the prosecution stage.

4. Article 2 of the Decree Law No. 676 has unduly expanded the boundaries of the rule as to banning a lawyer to attend the criminal proceedings. Prior the Decree Law 676, a lawyer would be banned from to attend the criminal proceedings only in case where there is a pending prosecution against him / her; by the amendment with the decree, the existence of a pending investigation has been made sufficient to ban a lawyer. And also, prior the Decree Law 676, the scope of to ban could comprise only “lawyer of the arrestee and convict”. By changing the wording of "may be banned from acting as a defense counsel or as a representative of the arrestee or the convict" as "may be banned from acting as a defense counsel or as a representative of the suspect, the arrestee or the convict"; the restriction has become applicable for whole stages of investigation and prosecution procedures.

---

12 Decree Law 667, Article 6:

(2) Within the scope of the investigations and prosecutions, at the most three lawyers shall be present during statement-taking and questioning periods or hearings.

13 Article 150/3 of the Turkish Penal Procedure Law before the Decree Law as follows:

(3) In cases where there is a pending prosecution* because of crimes listed in this subparagraph against a lawyer who has been selected according Art. 149, or has been appointed according Art. 150, and who is defending or representing an individual who has been arrested with a warrant because of crimes, or has been convicted of crimes as listed in Art. 220 and 314 Turkish Criminal Code, or terrorism crimes, may be banned from acting as a defense counsel or as a representative of the arrestee or the convict**.

* “prosecution” was changed as “investigation”

(4) In cases where there is a pending investigation because of crimes listed in this subparagraph against a lawyer who has been selected according Art. 149, or has been appointed according Art. 150, and who is defending or representing an individual who has been arrested with a warrant because of crimes, or has been convicted of crimes as listed in Art. 220 and 314 Turkish Criminal Code, or terrorism crimes, may be banned from acting as a defense counsel or as a representative of the arrestee or the convict**.

** “the arrestee or the convict” was changed as “the suspect, the arrestee or the convict”.

14 With regard to the offences enumerated under Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Seventh Sections of Fourth Chapter of Second Volume of the Turkish Criminal Code no. 5237 dated 26 September 2004, the offences falling under the Anti-Terror Law no. 3713 dated 12 April 1991 and the collective offences.)
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In August 2017, the Turkish Ministry of Justice issued an order under Article 6/g of the Decree 667 regarding the banning of certain lawyers from representing certain suspect. In the order in question which was sent to all provincial public prosecutors, lawyers who themselves were under criminal investigation were asked to be banned for 2 years. So far, in only Istanbul at least 400 lawyers have been banned by the two separate decisions issued by Peace Criminal Judges.

5. The Decree Law 668 has stipulated that the right of the person who taken under custody to see a lawyer may be restricted for five days by the prosecutor, (but no formal statements shall be taken during this time from the accused.).

6. The Decree Law 667 introduced that oral consultations between the detainees and their lawyers may be recorded for security reasons, and the documents they

---

15 Decree Law 667, Article 6:
g) Within the scope of the investigations performed, the defence counsel selected under Article 149 of the Criminal Procedure Code no. 5271 of 4 December 2004 or assigned under Article 150 thereof may be banned from taking on his/her duty if an investigation or a prosecution is being carried out in respect of him/her due to the offences enumerated in this Article. The Office of Magistrates’ Judge shall render a decision on the public prosecutor’s request for a ban without any delay. Decision on banning shall be immediately served on the suspect and the relevant Bar Presidency with a view to assigning a new counsel.


16 Decree Law no. 668, Article 3-m) “The right of the suspect in custody to see a defense counsel may be restricted for five days by the decision of the public prosecutor. No statement shall be taken during this time.”

17 Decree Law no. 676, dated 29 October 2016, it (five days) was changes as one day.
exchange may be seized; the timing of such consultations may be regulated. With the Decree Law 676, this restriction was carried into effect also for consultations (between the detainees and their lawyers after the detainees) in the prison.

7. The Decree Law 667 introduced that the lawyer of the suspect, the arrestee or the defendant may be replaced, at the request of the prosecution, by the Bar.

8. The Decree Law 668 introduced that if the purpose of the investigation may be compromised, the defence counsel’s right to examine the contents of the case-file or take copies may be restricted by the decision of the prosecutor. Prior to state of emergency, such a restriction could only be decided by the judge.

---

18 Decree Law 667, Article 6/d) “Where there is a risk that public security and the security of the penitentiary institution is endangered, that the terrorist organization or other criminal organizations are directed, that orders and instructions are given to them or secret, clear or crypto messages are transmitted to them through the remarks during the interviews between the detainees and their lawyers; the interviews may be recorded auditorily or audio-visually via technical devices, the officers may be made present during the interviews between the detainee and his/her lawyer with a view to monitoring the interview, documents or document templates and files given by the detainee to his/her lawyer or vice versa and the records kept by them concerning the interview between them may be seized, or days and hours of the interviews may be limited upon the public prosecutor’s order. In the event that the interview of the detainee is understood to be made for the aim set out above, the interview shall be immediately ended, and this fact shall be recorded into minutes together with the grounds thereof. The parties shall be warned about this issue prior to the interview. In the event that such minutes are drawn up in respect of a detainee, the Office of the Magistrates’ Judge could ban the detainee from interviewing with his/her lawyers, upon the public prosecutor’s request. Decision on banning shall be immediately served on the detainee and the relevant Bar Presidency with a view to assigning a new lawyer. The public prosecutor may ask for replacement of the lawyer commissioned by the Bar. The commissioned lawyer shall be paid in accordance with Article 13 of the Law no. 5320 on the Enforcement and Application Procedure of the Criminal Procedure Code of 23 March 2005.”


20 Decree Law 668, Article 3/l) “The defense counsel’s right to examine the contents of the case file or take copies of the documents can be restricted by the decision of the public prosecutor, if the purpose of the investigation may be compromised”

21 Article 153/2 of the Turkish Penal Procedure Law prior the Decree Law as follows:

(2) The power of the defense counsel may be restricted, upon motion of the public prosecutor, by decision of the Justice of the Peace,* if a review into the contents of the file, or copies taken, hinder the aim of the ongoing investigation.

* “upon motion of the public prosecutor, by decision of the Justice of the Peace,*” was changed as by decision of the prosecutor.
9. Article 1-a of the Decree Law no. 667 introduced that the dead-line for bringing an arrested person to a judge is extended to 30\textsuperscript{22} days.\textsuperscript{23} \textsuperscript{24} Prior the state of emergency, the person arrested or detained shall be brought before a judge within at latest forty-eight hours and in case of offences committed collectively within at most four days.

10. Article 3-ç of the Decree Law no. 668\textsuperscript{25} introduced that review of detention or examination of the applications for release may be conducted on the basis of written materials contained in the case-file (i.e. without hearing the person concerned or his / her lawyer). Prior to the state of emergency, the judge had to hear oral defence statement of defendant or his / her counsel before reaching a decision.

11. The Decree Law no. 667 introduced that the prosecution may seize and inspect correspondence between defendants and “privileged witnesses” (such as spouses and lawyers, for example). Prior the state of emergency, it was impossible.\textsuperscript{26}

12. Article 4 of the Decree 676 introduced that the judge or the court might refuse to listen the witness or the expert produced by the defendant. Prior the the Decree

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{22} Article 1-a of the Decree Law no. 667 “a) The period of custody shall not exceed thirty day ..” \textsuperscript{23}
  \textbf{Prior the state of emergency, the dead-line was (maximum) four days.}
  \textsuperscript{24} With the article 10-a of the Decree Law no. 684, dated 23 January 2017, it (30 days) was changed as 14 days.
  \textsuperscript{25} According to the Government memorandum, p. 70, by 28 October 2016, out of 45,225 persons who were arrested within the scope of FETO/PDY investigations, 61,1% (27,514 persons) remained in detention without access to a judge for 1-5 days, 24,1% (11,042 persons) for 6-10 days, 9,4% (4,139 persons) for 11-15 days, 3,7% (1,760 persons) for 16-20 days, 1,2% (478 persons) for 21-25 days, and 0,5% (292 persons) for 26-30 days.
  \textsuperscript{26} Decree Law 667, Article 6/d) “- the interviews may be recorded auditorily or audio - visually via technical devices, the officers may be made present during the interviews between the detainee and his/her lawyer with a view to monitoring the interview, documents or document templates and files given by the detainee to his/her lawyer or vice versa and the records kept by them concerning the interview between them may be seized, or days and hours of the interviews may be limited upon the public prosecutor’s order.”
\end{itemize}
676, the judge or the court had to listen the witness or the expert if they were made available for the hearing by the defendant.27

13. Article 3/1 of the Decree Law 668 stipulated that any appeal against a detention order shall be examined within ten days28 by the magistrate who took the Prior the decree, the maximum term to examine the appeal was three days29

14. Article 142 of the Decree Law 694 renders “to listen ‘the undercover investigator’ as a witness in a closed hearing without attendance of the defendant or his / her lawyer” possible.

15. Article 147 of the Decree Law No. 694 gives authority to the judge to interrogate the defendant by video conference connection without bringing him to the courtroom, even if he wanted to attend the hearing personally.

16. Article 148 of the Decree Law 694 has made the delivering of the verdict possible even if the lawyer for the defence is not present at the hearing

---

27 Article 178 of the Turkish Penal Procedure Law prior the Decree Law as follows:
Directly bringing the witness and expert whose summons was denied

Article 178 – (1) In cases where the president of the court or the trial judge denies the written application of summoning the witness or the expert shown by the accused or the intervening party, the accused or the intervening party may bring these individuals along to the main hearing. These individuals shall be heard at the main trial.

28 Decree Law 668, Article 3:
c) The office of the magistrate or court, whose detention order has been objected to, shall revise its order if it deems relevant; otherwise, it shall refer, within ten days, the objection to the authority competent to examine the objection.

c) Requests for release shall be concluded over the case file within a maximum period of thirty days, along with a review of the detention.

29 Article 105 of the Turkish Penal Procedure Law prior the Decree Law as follows:
The procedure

Article 105 – (1) In cases where there is a motion filed according to the provisions of Arts. 103 and 104, the decision on approving the motion, denying the motion or ordering judicial control shall be rendered by the competent authority within three days, after the opinions of the Public Prosecutor, suspect, accused or defense counsel have been obtained. These decisions may be subject to a motion of opposition.
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17. Article 141 of the Decree Law 694 has increased the maximum pre-trial detention term from five to seven years.

18. Article 93 of the Decree Law 696 gives to the prosecutor and the intervening party the authority to appeal against the decisions to release of the arrestee. Prior the decree, the decisions to release given by the court was final.

Turkey: Re-arrest of Amnesty chair devastates family, disgraces justice

1 February 2018, 10:27 UTC

The decision to renew the detention of Amnesty International’s Turkey Chair mere hours after a court ordered his release must be immediately reversed and Taner Kılıç set free, said Amnesty International.

“Over the last 24 hours we have borne witness to a travesty of justice of spectacular proportions. To have been granted release only to have the door to freedom so callously slammed in his face is devastating for Taner, his family and all who stand for justice in Turkey,” said Amnesty International’s Secretary General, Salil Shetty.

“To have been granted release only to have the door to freedom so callously slammed in his face is devastating for Taner and his family”

Salil Shetty

On 1 February 2018, Taner Kılıç who is the chair of the Amnesty International’s Turkey Branch was re-detained even before released from Izmir Sakran Prison then rearrested by the same court which decided to release him.

19. Article 6.e of the Emergency Decree Law 667 has brought about significant restrictions as to the detainees' right of communication with the outer world and visiting rights. It narrowed down the range of relations who are allowed to visit a detainee and the detainees' rights to be visited by 3 persons he could freely chose.

---

30 Taner Kılıç who is the chair of the Amnesty International’s Turkey Branch was re-detained even before released from Izmir Sakran Prison then rearrested by the same court which decided to release him. Taner Kılıç was taken into custody on 6 June 2017 and subsequently arrested by Izmir Peace Criminal Judgeship on 9 June 2017. On 31 January 2018, Istanbul 35th High Penal Court decided to release him at the third hearing of trial. But after the prosecutor’s appeal against the court’s decision, his release procedure was frozen and Mr. Kılıç was re-detained by prison guards, taken into the courthouse and re-arrested by the same court which decided to release him only hours ago.
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have been taken away. On the other hand, detainees’ right to a telephone call per week has been reduced to a telephone call per fortnight.  

20. Other essential changes introduced by Decree Law no. 667 and 668 are as follows:\textsuperscript{32}

i. the prosecution may bar an advocate from taking up his/her duties if an investigation is pending against this person related to enumerated offences;

ii. a bill of indictment or “documents which substitute for the bill of indictment” may be “read out or summarized and explained\textsuperscript{33}” before the start of the trial;

iii. in urgent cases searches in private premises and offices (including lawyers’ offices) may be authorised by a prosecutor; such seizures should be submitted to a judge for review within five days; this procedure also applies to inspection of computers, databases, software, etc.;

iv. in urgent cases a prosecutor may order undercover investigative measures (such as wiretappings) which are subject to ex-post judicial examination.

\textsuperscript{31} Decree Law 667, Article 6:

c) The detainees may only be visited by his/her spouse, relatives of the first and second degrees and the first-degree relatives - in - law and his/her guardian or trustee only where the relevant documents are submitted. The powers of the Ministry of Justice and the Chief Public Prosecutor’s Office shall be reserved. The detainees shall enjoy the right to telephone conversations for once every fifteen days and for a period not exceeding ten minutes, limited to the persons set out in this subparagraph.


\textsuperscript{33} Decree Law 667, Article 6:

h) Prior to the hearings before the criminal courts, bill of indictment or the document which substitutes for bill of indictment shall be read out or summarized and explained.
INTERNATIONAL REACTIONS

The Bar of Ireland, The Bar of N.Ireland, The Law Society of N.Ireland, The Law Society of England and Wales, Law Society of Scotland, Law Society of Ireland, The Bar Council, Faculty of Advocates34, The Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe (CCBE)35, German Federal Bar (Bundesrechtsanwaltskammer)36, The Italian Bar Council (Consiglio Nazionale Forense)37, The International Observatory for Lawyers in Danger (OIAD)38 Belgium Francophones & Germanophone (Avocats.be) Bar Association and Belgium Flemish (Orde van Vlaamse Balies) Bar Association39, Athens and Paris Bar Associations40 the International Bar Association’s Human Rights Institute (IBAHRI) denounced the crackdown against the Turkish lawyers and the right to defence with the joint or separate letters and declarations on various times.


The Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe (CCBE) & Belgium Flemish Bar Association

---

Joint statement by lawyers, judges and journalists on the ongoing crackdown on the rule of law in Turkey

---

The Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe (CCBE) & EAJ & EFJ

---

THE CNF’S LETTER (ITALIAN NATIONAL LAWYERS COUNCIL) TO THE MINISTER OF JUSTICE OF TURKEY

CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE FORENSE

Rome, 23rd January 2017

Minister of Justice
Mr. Bülent Arınç
060459 Kırıkçay
Ankara

Dear Minister,

the Consiglio Nazionale Forense, which represents about 250,000 lawyers registered to 159 Bars and Law Societies of Italian Cities, expresses its protest for the recent events of harassment, threats and arrests of lawyers and journalists in Turkey, and firmly demands their immediate release, with the aim of obtaining their reinstatement in full respect of the rule of law and their personal rights.

The lawyer Barbara Spinelli, very appreciated in Italy for her commitment to the protection of human and workers’ rights, was travelling to Ankara to take part in an important conference, when she was arrested by the Turkish police on 27 December 2016. She was subsequently held in prison in Turkey, as was her colleague Onur Tanlı, until the end of January. It is now clear that they were never involved in any crime or terrorist activity.

On 12 January 2017, the lawyer Barbara Spinelli was not allowed to leave Turkey and thecolleague Onur Tanlı, since they are still facing huge problems of permanent deprivation from their rights which is totally unjustifiable.

In my capacity as President of the Consiglio Nazionale Forense, I sent a letter to the Italian Foreign Minister Angelino Alfano and to the Italian Minister of Justice Andrea Orlando, stressing that the colleagues Barbara Spinelli and Onur Tanlı are engaged along with other lawyers in representing the victims and symbolize the opposition to extreme rights, a regime that is seriously infringing on fundamental rights and the rule of law. Their situation, along with others such as that of journalist Can Dündar, is a personal attack on the body of law and of the judiciary, as well as on the autonomy and independence of the national judicial system. It is evident that they are pure acts of vengeance, but it is also clear that these episodes will not stop the action of the Italian Bar, that on the contrary shows further incitement and motivation to continue with determination in the...
The International Bar Association’s Human Rights Institute (IBAHRI)

To mark the 2018 Day of the Endangered Lawyer, amid growing evidence that human rights defenders and legal professionals in Turkey are being...
German Federal Bar (Bundesrechtsanwaltskammer)

The Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe (CCBE)

The International Observatory for Lawyers in Danger (OIAD)
TORTURE AND ILL-TREATMENT HAVE BECOME ALMOST EPIDEMIC

By the Emergency Decree Law No.667, Turkish government have offered impunity to the all public servants for any crime they may commit in performance of their duties including torture.

In a very troubling provision, emergency decree 667 states that “individuals who make decisions and perform their duty in the context of this decree bear no legal, administrative, financial or criminal responsibility for those duties performed.” This sends a clear signal to police officers and other officials that they can abuse detainees and violate their rights without fear of legal or other consequences. It also is a clear breach of Turkey’s non-derogable duty under international law to prevent and punish acts of torture and ill-treatment.41

By this article, Trabzon Prosecutorial Office, drops torture complaint due to impunity under state of emergency.42

In the report dated 25 October 2016 by Human Rights watch entitled “A Blank Check: Turkey’s Post - Coup Suspension of Safeguards Against Torture” 13 cases of alleged abuse committed by the Turkish Police against persons in their custody, including stress positions, sleep deprivation, severe beatings, sexual abuse, and rape threats, since the coup attempt were detailed.43

TORTURE AND ILL-TREATMENT HAVE BECOME ALMOST EPIDEMIC

According to a report named “Turkey’s Descent Into Arbitrariness The End Of Rule Of Law” by the SCF, Turkish lawyers are powerless to stop torture.44

The Arrested Lawyers Initiative have evidenced at least two incidents where detained lawyers were tortured. Lawyers MD and MA were subjected to torture under police custody in Ankara.45


The torture cases in Turkey’s detention centers cited in a New York-based Human Rights Watch (HRW) report titled “A Blank Check: Turkey’s Post-Coup Suspension of Safeguards against Torture”12 include several incidents in which lawyers were prevented from stopping the torture of their clients while in police custody. In one incident, a lawyer who was assigned a high-ranking officer as a client in the first few days after the coup attempt told HRW that when she first saw her client at Ankara Security Directorate headquarters, he had marks and injuries on his forehead and neck, scratches on his arms, bruises from handcuffs and scratches and bruises on the top of his feet. She said he also had a wound on his leg that looked like a piece of flesh was missing. The lawyer’s request to have a private meeting with her client was denied, a copy of the medical report was not provided and police threatened the lawyer with detention as well. The client told the court how he was tortured while in detention before the judge ruled to formally arrest him. Police whispered to a judge as the hearing was in progress and threatened the lawyer with arrest during a break. In another case reported by HRW, a lawyer recounted how her client was repeatedly beaten by police while in detention. The police whipped her client with plastic strips that are normally used as handcuffs and punched him with their fists in the head and his upper body. He couldn’t do anything to protect himself as he was handcuffed. The lawyer tried to intervene to stop the beating, but to no avail. She said: “At some point, I just turned away. I don’t know how many times they hit him. I couldn’t look at it anymore. I knew I couldn’t do anything to stop it. In the end he gave a statement…” The lawyer told Human Rights Watch that she would normally refuse to sign an interrogation report given under such conditions, or would make a note of the conditions on the report, but that she was too afraid to do either. “I was the only lawyer there at the time. There was violence everywhere and the police were not happy to see me there, saying, ‘What do these people need a lawyer for?’” The lawyer said the officer did not mention the ill-treatment during the court hearing that sanctioned his arrest and sent him to pre-trial detention.

45 https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/08/02/the-scream-of-tortured-turkish-lawyer/
https://arrestedlawyers.org/2017/08/05/876/
Other incidents: https://arrestedlawyers.org/category/torture/
**LAWYERS’ THE RIGHT TO FREE ASSOCIATION VANISHED**

In the state of emergency, the Erdogan Regime has also targeted the right to free association. Since the time the state of emergency was first declared, **1412 societies** and 139 charities have been shut down and had their assets confiscated without compensation.46

34 of the 1412 associations that were shut down were lawyer associations or law societies operating in 20 different provinces of Turkey. The majority of these lawyer associations’ members also are under prosecution with false accusations of terrorist activity.

Under the state of emergency regime, violation of the right to free assembly has not only been limited to the dissolution / closure of associations and foundations; but also membership to these organizations established and operated with government approval have been deemed evidence of criminal and terrorist activity in total breach of the principle of non-retrospectiveness  and the principle that no one may be found guilty of an offence unless the offending act was a crime under the law at the time it was committed.

---

TURKEY UNDER THE STATE OF EMERGENCY

DETAINED LAWYERS - MERSIN

LAWYER AYSEGUL CAGATAY, ANKARA UNDER POLICE CUSTODY

DETAINED LAWYERS – KAYSERI
DETAINED LAWYERS – IZMIR

LAWYER ZEYNEL BALKIZ (with glasses), FORMER PRESIDENT OF MANISA BAR ASSOCIATION AND HIS BROTHER WHO IS LAWYER TOO.

LAWYER TANER KILIC, CHAIR OF THE AMNESTY TURKEY HAS BEEN UNDER ARREST FOR 8 MONTHS